IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
NEWARK DIVISION

VARIOUS PLAINTIFFS, Plaintiffs, vs. ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No.: 16-5143 (CCC) Civil Action No.: 17-196 (CCC) Civil Action No.: 17-201 (CCC) Civil Action No.: 17-203 (CCC) Civil Action No.: 17-206 (CCC) Civil Action No.: 17-208 (CCC) Civil Action No.: 17-212 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-215 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-217 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-219 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-761 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-194 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-198 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-202 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-204 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-207 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-211 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-213 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-216 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-218 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-500 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-1207 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-1413 (CCC) Civil Action No: 16-8121 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-1606 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-1870 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-2098 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-2465 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-2475 (CCC) Civil Action No: 17-2597 (CCC)

SCHEDULING ORDER

The Court conducted a Case Management Conference on April 24, 2017, and set forth the following Scheduling Order; and counsel for the parties having consented to the entry of this Order;

IT IS on this 8 day of May, 2017;

ORDERED that:

1. On or before **May 24, 2017,** each Plaintiff will provide: (a) pharmacy records or other records demonstrating use of Defendants' PPI product(s) alleged in Complaint, and (b) medical records demonstrating diagnosis of injury alleged in Complaint. Defendants are not waiving their right to challenge the sufficiency of evidence relating to product identification or injury at a later date.

2. On or before **May 1, 2017**, counsel for plaintiffs will provide to counsel for defendant a proposed amended, redlined Ombudsman Complaint containing all of the allegations they contend give rise to personal jurisdiction in New Jersey for the non-New Jersey resident cases and a representation that the same facts contained in the one complaint are applicable to all of the other non-New Jersey resident cases. If any non-New Jersey resident cases have different grounds for asserting personal jurisdiction in New Jersey, such facts will be noted for such non-New Jersey plaintiffs.

3. On or before **May 8, 2017**, the parties will present their joint proposals for a Joint Discovery Order, a Protective Order, and an ESI Order. If the parties are unable to agree on a joint proposal, the parties will submit competing proposals to the Court.

4. The Court will conduct a Case Management Conference on **May 17, 2017 at 11:00 a.m.** included in the topics for discussion will be defendant's position on the issue of personal jurisdiction over the non-New Jersey resident plaintiffs.

5. No matter the outcome of the conference with respect to the issue of personal jurisdiction, plaintiffs' counsel will file the amended complaint by **May 18, 2017**.

6. On or before **June 2, 2017**, defendants will file their jurisdictional and/or venue motion related to the amended complaint. In the event the court denies this motion, defendants reserve the right to bring subsequent case-specific Rule 12 motions.

Hon. Claire C. Cecchi, U.S.D.J.

ME1 24741695v.1